

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/761,604	09/761,604 01/16/2001		David Edward Caldwell	CO2-2	5820
20808	7590	05/25/2004		EXAMINER	
BROWN &		•	KINDRED, ALFORD W		
	M & T BANK BUILDING NORTH TIOGA ST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ITHACA, 1	ITHACA, NY 14850				n
				DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

L

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•		09/761,604	SUNADA, MAKOTO				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alford W. Kindred	2172				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHI THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ma	arch 2004.					
•—	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,3 and 5-14 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3 and 5-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)⊡ objected to by the I	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicativity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
		,					
Attachment	t(s)						
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/761,604 Page 2

Art Unit: 2172

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is responsive to communication: Amendment B, filed on 3/15/04.
 This action is made final.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, and 5-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tavor, US# 2001/0032077 A1, in view of Dicker et al., US 2003/0105682 A1.

As per claims 1, 3 and 13-14, Tavor teaches "developing feature text snippets for each feature, the snippets being phrases to be used when describing . . . product features" (see abstract) "dynamically generated fluent text that is used to convey product analyses . . ." (see page 4, paragraphs [0051]-[0054]) "developing user profile text snippets . . . generic phrases . . ." (see page 4, paragraphs [0049]-[0052]). Tavor does not teach "user profile text snippets produces personalized recommendation for the product featuring dynamically generated fluent text . . . analysis and recommendation tailored to the user requirements and preferences." Dicker et al. teaches "user profile text snippets produces personalized recommendation for the product featuring dynamically generated fluent text . . . analysis and recommendation

Application/Control Number: 09/761,604

Art Unit: 2172

tailored to the user requirements and preferences" (see page 6, paragraphs [0071]-[0076]). It would have been obvious at the time of the invention for one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined the teachings of Tavor and Dicker above, because using the steps of "user profile text snippets produces personalized recommendation for the product featuring dynamically generated fluent text . . . analysis and recommendation tailored to the user requirements and preferences", would have given those skilled in the art the tools to expedite the recommendation process of product being compared for users based on the corresponding profile.

As per claims 5, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 1 and is similarly rejected including the following:

--Tavor teaches "testing the user profile text snippets" (see page 5, paragraphs [0057]-[0059]).

As per claim 6, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 1 and is similarly rejected including the following:

-- Tavor teaches "providing access to the product recommendation . . ." (see page 4, paragraphs [0058]-[0059]).

As per claim 7, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 1 and is similarly rejected including the following:

-- Tavor teaches "a computer network . . . " (see abstract).

Application/Control Number: 09/761,604

Art Unit: 2172

As per claims 8-12, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to arguments given above for rejected claims 1-7 and are similarly rejected including the following:

--Tavor teaches "display and explanation of a comparison between several products" (see page 4, paragraphs [0050]-[0051])

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, and 5-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/761,604

Art Unit: 2172

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US# 2002/0019763 A1; US# 2002/0103692 A1.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alford W. Kindred whose telephone number is 703-305-3802. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:00 am- 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on (703) 305-4393. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alford W. Kindred Patent Examiner Tech Ctr. 2100